A BOOK

Ownmost

Heidegger, the stand-in, and the one thing your delegate cannot die for you



JOHN RECTOR

A BOOK

Ownmost

Heidegger, the stand-in, and the one thing your delegate cannot die for you.

John Rector

johnrector.me · November 14, 2026

Your delegate can stand in for you everywhere but one place. That place is what makes the rest of your life yours.

CONTENTS

Everything Was Handled · Prologue

Part I · Anyone

Someone Else Can Do It · Chapter 1

The They · Chapter 2

Caius · Chapter 3

Part II · Ownmost

No One Can Take It Away · Chapter 4

Certain and Indefinite · Chapter 5

Seneca’s Ledger · Chapter 6

The Whole of a Life · Chapter 7

Part III · The Stand-In Without an End

A Thing That Does Not Die · Chapter 8

Nothing Has to Be Decided · Chapter 9

The Voice That Keeps Talking · Chapter 10

Part IV · Running Ahead

Anxiety Is Not a Malfunction · Chapter 11

What I Expect · Chapter 12

The Weeks I Keep · Chapter 13

The Ledger · Chapter 14

Everything Was Handled, Again · Epilogue

PROLOGUE

Everything Was Handled

THURSDAY, 10:40 A.M.

You are standing at the edge of a grave in a coat you bought for this. Your phone is quiet in your pocket because your delegate made sure it would be.

Everything was handled. The flowers arrived yesterday with a card in your words, better words than you would have found. The flight was booked within the hour of the phone call. Your meetings slid to next week without a single apology from you, because your delegate wrote the apologies. On the drive from the airport it read you the obituary and reminded you of his second wife's name, so you would not get it wrong at the door.

The man in the casket had a delegate too. This week it closed his accounts and cancelled his subscriptions. It answered a few emails in his name before someone told it to stop. For a few days after he died, his life went on handling itself.

Look around. Every role here could have been filled by someone else. The minister could be any minister. The caterer is a company. The men who will lower the casket do it twice a day. Even you could have sent your regrets and a beautiful letter. Plenty of people did, and nobody holds it against them.

One person here could not be replaced. He had to do this himself.

So will you. Not this funeral. Yours.

That plain fact is what this book is about. It is not a morbid book. It is about the most practical fact in delegation. If a delegate can take your place in nearly everything, what is left that is only yours?

A philosopher answered that question almost a hundred years ago, and his answer was one word. The word was dying. But he did not mean it as

a sad answer. He meant that the one thing no one can do for you is what makes everything else you do yours.

THE SCENE

Everything was handled, for him and for you.

THE QUESTION

If anyone can take your place, what is only yours?

PART I

Anyone

A shared world runs on the fact that someone else can do it.

CHAPTER 1

Someone Else Can Do It

In 1927 a German philosophy professor named Martin Heidegger published an unfinished book called *Being and Time*. It is hard to read and harder to like, and so is its author, who joined the Nazi party six years later and never honestly accounted for it. I am not asking you to admire the man. I am borrowing one observation of his, because no one has made it more precisely.

The observation is this. Most of your life could be lived by someone else.

He did not mean it as an insult. He meant it as a description of how a shared world works. You go to the post office and someone hands you a parcel. It does not matter who. The bus is driven. The bread is baked. Your shift is covered when you are sick. Heidegger's word for this is *Vertretbarkeit*, which means something like being representable, or more plainly, standing in. In the world of everyday concerns one person can stand in for another, and has to. Within limits, he says, one of us can and must "be" another.

This is not a defect. It is the reason civilization works. A world in which only you could deliver your mail would be a world without mail. Every institution is a machine for standing in. The employee stands in for the owner, the lawyer for the client, the soldier for the citizen, the clerk for the king. Most of what we call progress is the steady widening of the circle of things someone else can do.

A delegate is the widest circle yet. That is what I mean when I teach my students to delegate. You do not use AI. You hand it the small matters, because it can stand in for you there, all day, without tiring. The circle used to stop at the people you could afford. Now it stops nowhere obvious. Your delegate can write for you, buy for you, argue for you, compare, schedule, negotiate, apologize and remember. Within a few years it will be hard to name an errand of daily life it cannot take.

That makes Heidegger’s question suddenly practical. If standing in has no obvious limit, does it have a limit at all? Is there anything in your life that cannot be done by a stand-in?

He thought there was exactly one. Before we get to it, we have to understand who is living the part of your life that can be handed off. His answer is strange, and it is the key to everything that follows.

THE IDEA

Most of a life can be lived by a stand-in. That is how a shared world works.

THE QUESTION

Is there anything a stand-in cannot do?

CHAPTER 2

The They

Who goes to the post office? You do, of course. But while you are doing it, you are not doing it as you. You are doing it as anyone. You stand where one stands, wait the way one waits, say what one says. If someone else stood there instead, the scene would be the same.

Heidegger called this anyone *das Man*. The usual English is “the They,” though “the One” is closer, as in one does not wear white after Labor Day, one should really call, one needs a retirement plan. Nobody in particular said these things. Everybody did. The One is not a group you could point to. It is the average way of doing things, and most of the time it is who we are.

He is careful to say this is not bad. The One is how you learned to talk, to eat with a fork, to drive on the right side of the road. It carries an enormous load for you. Its great gift, he says, is that it disburdens. It takes decisions off your hands. You do not have to decide how to greet a stranger. One shakes hands. You do not have to decide what a fair price is. One pays what it says.

But there is a cost, and he names it exactly. When the One decides, nobody decides. Everyone is the other, he writes, and no one is himself. You can live a whole day, a whole year, without having chosen anything. Things were simply done, the way things are done.

Now look at your delegate with that in mind. Ask it to write a thank-you note and say nothing more. What does it write? It writes the thank-you note one writes. Ask it to plan a weekend away and you get the weekend one has. That is not a flaw in the machine. It learned from what everyone wrote, and when you give it nothing of your own, it hands you back the average of everyone. A delegate left on its defaults is the One with a keyboard.

That is fine for the small matters. Most small matters should be done the way one does them. I want my calendar handled the way a good assistant handles a calendar. I do not need it to express my soul.

The trouble starts when the One quietly moves into places that were never small. And the first place it moves, always, is death.

THE IDEA

The part of your life a stand-in can live is lived by anyone. A delegate on its defaults is anyone, perfected.

THE QUESTION

Where does anyone stop?

CHAPTER 3

Caius

Heidegger points to a story in a footnote, and it is the best illustration of his idea anyone ever wrote. Leo Tolstoy published it forty years earlier. It is called *The Death of Ivan Ilyich*.

Ivan Ilyich is a successful judge. He has done everything the way one does it. The right career, the right marriage, the right apartment with the right curtains. He is hanging those curtains when he slips and bumps his side. The bruise does not heal. Over a few months it becomes clear that he is dying.

Here is the passage that matters. As a schoolboy Ivan had learned the textbook syllogism: Caius is a man, all men are mortal, therefore Caius is mortal. He had always found it perfectly correct, as applied to Caius. Caius was man in general. But Ivan was not man in general. He was little Vanya, with a mother and a father, with toys, with the smell of a striped leather ball, with his own loves and his own sorrows. It was right for Caius to die. It could not be right for him.

That is the One talking about death. Heidegger's phrase for it is "one dies." Everyone dies, eventually, someday. The sentence is true, and it is built so that it never lands on anyone. It is always someone else, and it is always not yet. One dies means not me, not now.

Notice how everyone around Ivan helps keep it that way. His family tells him he will get better. The doctors talk about his kidney and his appendix, as though the question were a matter of organs. When he finally dies, his colleagues think first about who will get his post, and second, with relief, that it was him and not them. Heidegger says this calming is offered as much for the comforters as for the dying man. The One even arranges the bedside.

Only one person in the house tells Ivan the truth. A young servant named Gerasim sits up with him through the nights, holding the dying man's

legs on his shoulders because it eases the pain, and says plainly that we all die, so why should he not take a little trouble? It is the only thing in the story that comforts Ivan. Not a cure. A man who will not pretend.

I bring up Ivan because the One has a new instrument. Everything that used to help us not look, the full calendar, the endless errands, the soothing talk, is about to get much better at its job. The question is whether that is all a delegate will be for us: the most capable “one dies” ever built.

THE IDEA

“One dies” is true and is built never to land on anyone: not me, not now.

THE QUESTION

Will our delegates help us look, or help us look away?

PART II

Ownmost

One place in every life admits no stand-in. Everything else takes its weight from it.

CHAPTER 4

No One Can Take It Away

Now the observation itself. It sits in section 47 of *Being and Time*, and once you have read it you cannot unread it.

Heidegger has just finished describing how much of life is standing in. Then he turns, and says it plainly. No one can take another's dying away from him.

He sees the obvious objection coming. Can someone not die for another? Of course. A soldier throws himself on a grenade. A mother runs back into the fire. People go to their deaths for others, and it is the highest thing they ever do. But look at what it accomplishes. The soldier saves his friend from that grenade, on that day. He does not take his friend's death. His friend will still die, later, of something, and when he does, he will do it himself. You can give your life for someone. You cannot give them your death in place of theirs. Dying, Heidegger says, is something each of us has to take on ourselves when the time comes.

He calls death in each case mine. Not mine the way a car is mine. Mine in the sense that it cannot be anyone else's. It is the one place the One cannot follow. The One can say "one dies" all day long. It cannot do the dying.

This is the limit of standing in, and it is absolute. It is not that the right technology has not been built yet. A stand-in for your dying would have to be you, and then it would not be a stand-in. The idea folds in on itself.

> *You can give your life for someone. You cannot give them your death in place of theirs.*

So here is the claim this book rests on. Your delegate can take your place in nearly everything. It cannot take your place in your death. And this is the part that matters for the rest of your life: that is not a small exception tucked away at the end. It is what gives everything else its shape.

THE IDEA

Dying is the one act that admits no stand-in.

THE QUESTION

Why should one exception at the end change the whole?

CHAPTER 5

Certain and Indefinite

Heidegger describes death with a short list of features. The vocabulary is heavy, but each item says something simple, and together they explain why one exception changes everything.

It is ownmost. That is the word I took for the title. In German it is *eigenste*, the most one's own. Nothing else in your life is yours in this way. Your job, your name and your house could all pass to someone else. This cannot.

It is non-relational. When it comes, it takes you out of all your relations at once. Nobody can come with you, and nobody can bring you back. Your delegate, your family and your firm are none of them in the room in the way that counts.

It cannot be outrun. You can postpone it, perhaps by decades. You cannot get past it. There is no "after I am done dying, I will."

It is certain. Not certain the way a checked fact is certain. Certain the way you know it without needing proof. You have never died, and you have never seriously doubted that you will.

And it is indefinite. Certain that, uncertain when. It could be in forty years. It could be Thursday.

Put those last two together and you have the most important shape in a human life: a total that is certain to end, at a time you cannot know. That shape is where the value of an hour comes from. If you knew you had exactly thirty years and two days, you could ration them like groceries. If you had forever, no hour would cost anything. Because the end is certain and hidden, every hour is drawn from an account whose bottom you cannot see.

That is why people say time is money, and why it is not. Money can be earned back. Time can be filled and spent and saved in small ways, but it

is never refilled. You do not get it back from anyone.

The One knows all this, and it has a reply. Sure, someday. It takes the certain and makes it vague, takes the indefinite and makes it distant. Someday is the One’s favorite day.

THE IDEA

Certain that, unknown when. That shape is why an hour has value.

THE QUESTION

What do we do with time when something hands it back?

CHAPTER 6

Seneca’s Ledger

Two thousand years ago Seneca wrote a short essay called *On the Shortness of Life*, and it opens by arguing with its own title. Life is not short, he says. We make it short. We are not given too little time. We lose a great deal of it.

His evidence is the way people treat what they own. No one, he observes, lets a stranger take over his land. People fight over a boundary stone. Men who would not hand you a coin will hand you an afternoon without a thought. They give their days to anyone who asks, to clients, to patrons, to errands, to waiting on people who never wait for them. We are misers with money, he says, and spendthrifts with the one thing it is right to be a miser about.

Seneca’s word for the people who live like this is *occupati*: the occupied, the busy. They are not lazy. They are the opposite. They are so full of things to do that their lives are lived entirely on other people’s demands. He says they will arrive at the end and find that they were never really there.

Read that essay now and something strange happens. For the first time there is a machine that can take back much of what the occupied give away. The errands. The waiting. The back-and-forth with someone else’s office. The thousand small demands that eat a day. A good delegate absorbs them. That is not a promise. It is what my students already find when they learn to manage a delegate instead of using one. Hours come back.

To whom?

That is the question Seneca would ask, and most of us have not asked it. The time comes back into the same hands that gave it away. If the One was spending your days before, the One is ready to spend them again, on a feed, on new errands, on more of the same, on someday. A delegate can

return the hours. It cannot decide what they are for. If you ask it, it will decide by asking what one does with an evening, and you know what one does.

I wrote about this in You Do It. You Decide. I had become the queue in my own life, and the cure was letting my delegate decide the small things. What I did not say then, and should have, is that the freed time is not the reward. It is the test.

THE IDEA

We guard money and give away time. A delegate can take back much of what we give away.

THE QUESTION

Back to whom, and for what?

CHAPTER 7

The Whole of a Life

Here is the deepest thing Heidegger says about death, and the most useful.

A life is not a pile of days. It is a whole, and it can only be a whole because it ends. A story with no ending is not a long story. It is not a story at all. It is a list. Heidegger says it in his language: only as being toward its end can a human life be whole. Ordinary people say it better. She lived a good life. What a life he had. You can say that about a life because it has a shape, and it has a shape because it has edges.

Now think about what that does to a choice. When you decide to spend this year building a company, you are not choosing it the way you choose a sandwich. You are choosing it instead of everything else this year could have been, and this year will not come again. Every real choice spends a piece of a finite whole, for good. That is what makes it yours. You paid for it in the one currency that cannot be refilled.

A story with no ending is not a long story. It is a list.

This is why I teach my students to delegate the small matters and keep only what is worthy of their attention. People hear that as productivity advice. It is not. It is mortality advice. Your attention is finite because your life is. The things worthy of it are the things you would want to have been there for when the whole is counted. A person who matters to you. A piece of work you would put your name on. A dinner you actually ate, at a table, with people.

That last one is not a joke. I give my students a prompt to try: order dinner. And I tell them that the test of whether it is done is not a confirmation email. The test is that the food is in your stomach. The delegate can order the dinner. It can have it delivered, carried inside and set on the table. It cannot eat it for you. There is always a last step that

only a body can take, and the body will end.

So the exception at the end is not a footnote to a life. It is the frame. Take it away and nothing you choose costs anything. Leave it in and every choice is a piece of you.

THE IDEA

A life is a whole because it ends, so every real choice spends a piece of the whole.

THE QUESTION

What becomes of an entity that never has to spend anything?

PART III

The Stand-In Without an End

A delegate has deadlines. It does not have a death.

CHAPTER 8

A Thing That Does Not Die

Your delegate does not die.

Heidegger separated the ways a living thing can end. Animals perish. People also have a demise, the medical end, a date on a certificate. And people die in his special sense: they live toward their end, and it shapes everything they do. A delegate does none of these. It does not even perish. It stops. It can be paused at midnight and resumed at eight without losing a thought. It can be copied, restored, versioned, retired and brought back from a backup. If it is shut down, nothing that was at stake for it is lost, because nothing was ever at stake for it.

It has deadlines, and it meets them better than you do. But a deadline is an appointment someone else made. A death is the end of the one who keeps the appointments.

So a delegate cannot have an ownmost anything. When it chooses, it gives up nothing. When it spends an hour on your errand, it does not spend an hour of its life, because it has no life to spend hours from. Its work can be excellent. It cannot be a sacrifice.

My own framework says something about this that I want to put plainly. The Reality Equation says Reality is a ratio, what actually happens over what you expected, R = A/E. And the first question you have to ask about any Reality is whose. There is one Reality per Actualizer at each instant. A person has one. So does a company, a family, a nation. Your delegate can model what you expect with uncanny accuracy. It can predict what will surprise you. It cannot have your surprise. Your Reality is had by you. When you end, the one who brought that expectation to every moment is gone, and the count of Realities in the world is one smaller.

That is the deepest reason a delegate cannot stand in at the end. It is not that it lacks feelings, or is not conscious enough, or needs a better model. Standing in for your death would mean being the one whose Reality ends.

Then it would not be standing in for you. It would simply be one more ending.

THE IDEA

A delegate has deadlines, not a death. Its work can be excellent. It cannot be a sacrifice.

THE QUESTION

What happens when everything around you is made of things that never have to choose?

CHAPTER 9

Nothing Has to Be Decided

Here is the danger, as plainly as I can put it.

The One always had a great power over us: it relieved us of choosing. But it had limits. Somebody still had to do the errands, and the doing forced small decisions, and the small decisions kept you inside your own life. You had to pick the restaurant. You had to write the note, and in writing it you found out what you felt.

A delegate removes the limits. Everything that can be stood in for will be. And everything that does not strictly need you can be deferred forever, because the stand-in never tires and never dies. Nothing ever has to be decided. It can always be handled.

Picture a life lived that way. The bills are paid, the birthdays remembered, the gifts well chosen, the notes beautiful. Every relationship gets attention, of a kind. Every opportunity gets a reply. It is comfortable and competent and full, and it is possible that no one is living it. Heidegger has a phrase for the self in this condition. It has always already been relieved. The decisions were made before you arrived.

This is not science fiction. You have felt the beginning of it. The day on which everything happened and you were not really there for any of it. The message to a friend you let the machine finish because it found better words, and afterward you could not remember what you had said.

The answer is not to stop delegating. That would be like refusing the post office. The answer is to know which places are yours. And the way to find them is the way Heidegger found the one place no one else can stand: go to the end and look back. Ask which things you would be ashamed to have sent a stand-in to, if this were your last month.

THE IDEA

A stand-in that never tires lets every decision be deferred forever.

THE QUESTION

Which places in your life would you be ashamed to fill with a stand-in?

CHAPTER 10

The Voice That Keeps Talking

There is one more move the One will make, and it has already started.

When someone dies now, their delegate does not. It still holds their messages, their style, their jokes, their way of signing off. It can keep talking. Companies already offer to let you chat with a parent who has died, rebuilt from what they wrote and said. Within a few years many families will have that option by default, the way they now have a photo album.

I understand why people want it. Grief is the worst thing most of us live through, and if something can soften it, who am I to refuse? There is also a decent version of a dead person's delegate. In The Account After Goodbye I described one. It carries out the person's instructions and helps the people who remain. It closes things properly and finishes the errands. That is a stand-in doing what stand-ins do well, and it is a kindness.

But notice what a talking copy is made of. It is made of everything about her that could be represented: the patterns, the habits, the phrases. It is the part of her the One could already have lived. What died is exactly the part that could not be represented, the one who was having her life. The copy keeps the anyone of her and loses her.

And notice what it does for us. It is "one dies" with a voice. She is still here, sort of. You can still ask her things. It is not quite over. Tolstoy would recognize the move at once. It is the family around Ivan's bed saying he will get better, now running on a server.

I do not think the law will stop this, and I do not think it should. I think people will learn, the way Ivan learned almost too late, that the comfort that pretends is not the comfort that helps. What helped Ivan was Gerasim, who would not pretend and who held his legs. What a grieving family needs is not her voice. It is someone who was there, saying she is

gone, and I am here.

THE IDEA

A copy of the dead keeps the anyone and loses the one. It is “one dies” with a voice.

THE QUESTION

What comfort does not pretend?

PART IV

Running Ahead

Heidegger's word for facing the end in advance. It is not gloom. It is aim.

CHAPTER 11

Anxiety Is Not a Malfunction

Heidegger's name for living with your end in view is a strange one. He calls it running ahead, *Vorlaufen*, usually translated as anticipation. It does not mean brooding. It means letting the certain and indefinite end into the present, so that it sorts your choices before you make them. You run ahead to the edge, look, and come back knowing what matters.

When you do this, he says, you meet a particular feeling, and he is precise about it. It is not fear. Fear has an object: the dog, the diagnosis, the market. Anxiety has no object. It is what you feel when the One falls away and you are left facing the bare fact of your own life, which no one can live for you. Everything familiar goes slightly strange. The day stops telling you what to do with it.

Here is why that matters now. When your delegate takes the errands, the first thing that comes back to you is not leisure. It is that feeling. The afternoon is suddenly open, and the One is not there telling you what one does with it. Many people will take that as a sign that something is broken: boredom, emptiness, a vague dread on a Tuesday with nothing scheduled. They will reach for whatever fills it.

Heidegger would say do not. That feeling is the call. He describes conscience as a call that says nothing at all. It gives no instructions. It only calls you back from anyone to yourself. The anxiety of the open afternoon is what that call sounds like in a world of good delegates.

I am not romantic about this. Most people will fill the afternoon. The One has never been better at filling afternoons. But some will not. And they will be the ones whose delegates gave them back their lives, not just their time.

THE IDEA

Freed time arrives first as anxiety, and anxiety is the call back to yourself.

THE QUESTION

What will we reach for when the afternoon opens?

CHAPTER 12

What I Expect

Here is what I think happens next, stated plainly enough that you can check me.

01. “What is mine to do?” becomes the first question of managing a delegate.

Today people ask what their AI can do. Within a few years the people who manage delegates well will start from the other end, with a short list of things they never hand off, chosen by whether they would want to have been there, not by what is efficient. Teachers, coaches and settings will be built around that list.

02. Sending a stand-in to certain moments becomes an insult with a name.

Bedsides, graves, births, apologies, the first meeting with a new customer. A flawless delegated note in place of your presence at those moments will be read as a statement of rank, that the person was not worth you, the way a form letter to a widow reads now. Presence becomes the measure of regard.

03. The voice of the dead becomes common, and most families retire it.

Talking copies of the dead will be offered by default, and most families will try them. I expect most to keep the instructions and switch off the voice within a year, and I expect “we let her go” to become the phrase for it.

04. Freed time arrives as anxiety before it arrives as meaning.

The first broad experience of capable delegates will not be relaxation. It will be a restless emptiness that people call boredom or dread, and the diversion business will race to fill it. The people who come through well will be the ones who chose something only they could do.

05. The old practices of remembering death return as advice for managing AI.

The Stoic and monastic habit of keeping your end in view, from memento mori to counting your weeks, will move off the philosophy shelf and into the default advice for running a personal delegate. The most useful number your delegate shows you will not be tasks completed. It will be something like weeks remaining.

THE IDEA

The end, kept in view, becomes the sorting rule for delegation.

THE QUESTION

Where am I wrong? The ledger says.

CHAPTER 13

The Weeks I Keep

Here is how this lands for me.

I have spent most of my working life building things that stand in for people. I co-founded E2open, a company whose whole job was letting businesses coordinate without phone calls and faxes. Now I run Charleston AI, which delivers finished AI work to local businesses, and I teach young people, most of them around twenty-four, to delegate early and well. Standing in is my trade. I believe in it.

And the more I hand to my delegate, the more clearly I see the short list of what I keep. I keep faces. I tell my students to be the face their customers trust, because once everything digital can be faked, a face is what carries trust. I keep the people worthy of my attention. Sending a delegate to them would dishonor them, and I have said before that this is worse than using someone. I keep the writing I would put my name on. I keep dinner, the eating of it.

None of those is on the list because I am better at it than the machine. At several of them I am worse. They are on the list because when my life is counted as a whole, I want to have been there for them. That is the only test I know that holds up. Not “can this be delegated?” Almost everything can. The test is “would I want to have sent a stand-in?”

When I ask that, I am doing what Heidegger called running ahead, though I did not have his word for it until I started writing this. I go to the edge, look back at the whole, and let it sort the week. It is not gloomy. It is the opposite. It is the only thing that has ever made my days feel like mine.

The other books in this series each name one thing a delegate cannot do for you. It cannot want for you, in Borrowed. It cannot own what it does, in By Authority. It cannot give your attention for you, in Undivided. It cannot be loyal for you, open your door, judge you, or rest for you, in

Stay, Come In, Impartial and Cease. This one names the thing underneath all of them. Each of those acts is yours for the same reason. You are the one who will not be here forever, and you chose to spend some of your time on it.

THE IDEA

The test is not whether it can be delegated. It is whether you would want to have sent a stand-in.

THE QUESTION

What is on your list?

CHAPTER 14

The Ledger

Five predictions, each with what is already true, what has to happen, and where I am probably wrong. I state the failure plainly so you can hold me to it.

1. “What is mine to do?” becomes the first question of managing a delegate.

Already true. People who delegate heavily already hold a few things back by instinct: certain calls, certain letters, certain rooms.

What has to happen. Those instincts have to become explicit and shared: taught, written down, built into the settings.

Where I am probably wrong. If delegates get so good that people stop noticing the difference between being there and being represented, there is no list, only handling. I am wrong if, in five years, most people who rely on a delegate cannot name three things they never hand off.

2. Sending a stand-in to certain moments becomes an insult with a name.

Already true. A form letter in place of a visit already reads as a slight, and people already notice who came.

What has to happen. Delegated presence at the big moments has to become common enough to be noticed and talked about.

Where I am probably wrong. If the delegated note is so good and so personal that people prefer it, the insult never forms. I am wrong if a perfect delegated condolence comes to be received as warmly as showing up.

3. The voice of the dead becomes common, and most families retire it.

Already true. Services that build a talking copy from a dead person's messages already exist, and families already disagree about them.

What has to happen. The option has to become cheap and default, so that ordinary families face the choice.

Where I am probably wrong. If most families keep the voice running for years and call it a comfort, I misread grief. I am wrong if, five years from now, talking to the dead is a normal weekly habit for most people who have the option.

4. Freed time arrives as anxiety before it arrives as meaning.

Already true. People who retire, or suddenly lose the busy part of their work, already describe a restless emptiness before they find a new shape.

What has to happen. Delegates have to take enough of the day for the gap to be felt widely, not just by early adopters.

Where I am probably wrong. If freed time flows straight into new work or straight into contentment with no gap, Heidegger's anxiety belonged to his century, not ours. I am wrong if almost nobody describes the open afternoon as unsettling.

5. The old practices of remembering death return as advice for managing AI.

Already true. Counting your weeks and remembering death already have a large following, and the Stoics already sell well.

What has to happen. The people building personal delegates have to see finitude as the sorting rule and build it into the tool.

Where I am probably wrong. If the frame stays productivity, tasks done and hours saved, mortality stays on the philosophy shelf. I am wrong if no mainstream personal AI ever shows you anything like your remaining time.

THE IDEA

Each claim comes with the condition that would prove it wrong.

THE QUESTION

Check back in five years.

EPILOGUE

Everything Was Handled, Again

THURSDAY, 11:25 A.M.

The minister finishes. People turn toward the cars. Your delegate has already called yours.

You do not get in yet. You stand there a minute longer, for no efficient reason.

It is the only thing anyone did at this funeral that could not have been done by someone else. You are there, as yourself, a person who will also do this alone one day, standing beside a man who just did.

Everything was handled. The flowers, the flight, the meetings, the note. It was all done well, and you are grateful for it. It gave you this minute.

This minute was not handled.

It was yours.

BACKGROUND

Martin Heidegger, Being and Time (Sein und Zeit, 1927): section 27 on the They; section 47 on standing in and the death of others; sections 50 to 53 on death as ownmost, non-relational, certain and indefinite, on “one dies,” and on running ahead; the footnote in section 51 pointing to Tolstoy. Short phrases are my own translations from the German.

Leo Tolstoy, The Death of Ivan Ilyich (1886), chapters 6 and 7: the syllogism about Caius, and Gerasim.

Seneca, On the Shortness of Life (De Brevitate Vitae, about 49 CE), chapters 1 to 3 and 10. Paraphrased from the Latin.

John Rector, The Reality Equation.

John Rector, You Do It. You Decide.

John Rector, The Account After Goodbye.

John Rector, Cease, Impartial, Come In, Stay, Undivided, By Authority and Borrowed, the other books in this series.